14599 Bayes Ave. Lakewood, Ohio. August 17, 1952

Mr. Frank Scully c/o Henry Holt Company, Inc. 383 Madison Ave. New York. N.Y.

Mr. Scully:

It has been sometime since you wrote your controversial book "Behind the Flying Saucers". Since then everybody and anybody is an authority on the subject. Drew Pearson, of Washington fame, stuck his neck out predicting the Saucers are from another Planet.Life Magazine went all out with an article in the magazine and on T.V. Scientists and noted research men are all getting in the Act. But not Scully. You seem to have fadedout of the picture. Surely there must be something you can say on this most interesting subject. Thousands of people like myself have bought your book and have been ridiculed no end because we believed in some of the things you have written. With all the latest developments there must be something new to write about, one way or another.

I can understand your silence from a security point of view if security of the Nation is involved. You said you were not restricted from writting the book, so why all the Golden silence now? The people are seeing something, yet there are as many different versions as there are people.

Operation Skywatch was set up to observe enemy aircraft. Yet Army Jets were out chasing so called Saucers. Don't you think you owe the people an explanation now? Surely there must be a legitimate answer and I think

you could supply some of it.

In the last article you wrote for Pageant Magazine, February-1951, you said you would be as dead as the little men found in the Space ships on the Mojave desert, if you had listened to all the criticism about your book. Well-what about those little men now? I am sure they are still as dead as ever, but you could be a little more enlightening.

This is my second letter to you. I did not receive a reply to the first so I am making another attempt. I don't mean to be a pest, but I am hoping for some answer to cool down some of the ridicule I have to take everyday.

Yours very truly, Stephen Harala Dear Mr. Herala:-

In going through our files I find a letter from you and an answering letter to you that was neither signed nor mailed. Please forgive the delay. I am now forwarding the letter, and also a rebuff to a most malicious, inaccurate article that you may or may not have come across around that time. This to fortify you in your arguments with scoffers.

Certainly time has proven that whatever was in the book was very much to the point. Reports are coming in, and evidence seems to pile up, so it is just a matter of time till even scoffers will have to accept the fact that flying saucers are here to stay.

We are so sorry about the long delay in answering your mail. Please forgive, but we just got snowed under. If only you were closer you could help dig Mr. Scully out of the avalanche.

All the best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Mrs. Frank Soully

27 Montcalm Avenue Buffalo, New York August 20, 1952

Mr. Frank Scully C/o Henry Holt & Co. New York, N. Y.

pear Mr. Scully:

I have read your BEHIND THE FLYING SAUCERS with a great deal of pleasure.

po you know whether any flying discs have landed on our earth during the past two years?

po you believe that the number of Americans who think flying saucers are real has increased since you published your book in 1950?

I am a former high school instructor. I have never seen an extra-terrestrial flying-machine. However, my personal opinion is that they are real.

I should be very happy to hear from you.

Sincerely yours

Dr./Benjamin/D. Benincasa

November 1

August 21, 1952

Mr. Frank Scully, c/o Henry Holt & Co. 257 Fourth Avenue, New York 10, New York.

Dear Mr. Scully:

I have just read your book on Flying Saucers. I found it to be fascinating. In particular I was impressed by the mention of a magnetic radio only one inch in size. This device is allegedly in use and in the possession of persons referred to as Dr. Gee and Mr. Newton.

Among other things, I am a radio amateur. This statement alone should suffice to explain my interest in the device. So I am writing to you for any information you may feel free to divulge. If proves to be impossible I wonder if you would be so kind as to refer to some source where the details of such a device would be available. Perhaps you could refer my letter to some one who could direct me further.

May I say that any aid you can render would be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Theodore E. Gagliano, M.D., 96 Grove Avenue,

Verona, New Jersey.

Dear Mr. Bracks

Thank you for your letter of August 1, and the clipping. We are adding it to our saucer file.

As you may have heard, I am preparing a new saucer book to be released probably next spring. It is possible my publishers will be interested in having a set of the photographs you mentioned. If this is the case I shall have them contact you about it.

Thanking you again for your interest and consideration, I am

Faithfully yours.

Frank Scully

August 28, 1952

Dear Mr. Herala:

Thank you for reminding me that your August 17th letter was your second to me. Due to a blizzard of brick-bats and bouquets. I have not been able to answer a fraction of the mail received as a result of having written Behind the Flying Saucers.

But that wasn't the reason I have been silent. I have been sick for a very long while, critically so, and am now at a place on the Mojave desert, convalescing by trying to write the story of what has happened in the Saucerian saga since 1950. So if you will bear with me a while longer I hope to get it done to the satisfaction of good people like you.

Faithfully yours,

FRANK SCULLY

WILLIAM J. VINCENT

4 Hell Laus Glea Head 4 Hill Lane Glen Head, N. Y.

September 1, 1952

Mr. Frank Scully 2071 Grace Avenue Hollywood 28, Calif.

Dear Mr. Scully,

I have been a strong believer in your saucer story and have done what I could to bring out the full story from our Air Force. I wrote you twice in 1951 and appreciate your replies.

However, the Cahn article in TRUE is pretty conclusive evidence that you have caused our Air Force a lot of trouble and ridicule, either purposely or because of being duped by your friend Newton. . I have followed your VARIETY column for some time and it seems hard to believe that your book was an intentional hoax on your part.

I still am of the opinion that there is more to the saucer story than what the Air Force will admit but if Mr. Kahn is not sued and convicted of libel, your reputation as a journalist and American will indeed suffer.

Very truly yours,

William & Micen

Aus

Palomar Gardens, Star Route, Valley Center, Calif. September 2, 1952.

Dear Mr. Scully & Family,

Perhaps you remember the long drink of water that use to hop up to your dwelling, high in the Hollywood Hills and just above the main smog belt, most of the time when I was on my way to the astronomival meetings.

For the past five weeks this summer I have been part of the gang down here at Palomar Gardens and amid watching space ships, converting skeptics, and laughing at the September TRUE article, I wondered how you-all been on your little-old ranch.

There is a pretty large international organization that I belong to, (Help start) that you may have heard of or may find interest in. The name of it is The Extra-Terrestrial Research Organization or ETRO for short. I'll tell you more about it sometime if you are interested. Any-way, what I would like to know is if I could recopy the press-release that you sent here to Adamski and have it printed in ETRO's bimonthly publication.

I would like to see and talk with you again soon if it is at all possible on your part. There are several important things I would like to discuss with you.

All for now. I'll be here at Adamski's through this weekend but it would be better if you would write to me at my home address. Hope to see and hear from you soon.

Sincerely your friend,

Ivan A. Courtright Jr.

419 ave 28 Venice Calif



## AERIAL PHENOMENA RESEARCH ORGANIZATION

CORAL E. LORENZEN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR

P.O. BOX 358

STURGEON BAY, WIS.

Sept. 2, 1952

Mr. Frank Scully, 2171 Grace Avenue Hollywood, Calif.

Dear Mr. Scully,

In response to your letter of August 28, I'd like to thank and commend you on your prompt reply. Right away I would like to say that I perused the TRUE article with much more than slight amusement, as I also did the recent article in PEOPLE TODAY. I recognized Cahn's style as one of the current habits of most writers indulging in any kind of speculation. I still say I am the first newspaper feature writer to have an article published dealing with saucers and the like, who had guts enough to state his opinion, back it up with logic, and at the same time be convincing enough t convince the necessary editor in order to get it in print. And 7 that is an accomplishment.

Back to Adamski--- I knew him before we left Los Angeles last fall, and was quite impressed. His pictures are impressive, also. However, in the game we are engaged in, bias has no place, and everything considered must be probed from every angle in order to gain the truth. Also, although it gripies me no end, I cannot divulge the names of those who have intimated to me that Adamski's stuff is fake.

APRO is engaged in some research of it's own---Sunday I saw a fairly large sphere of impressive weight held five feet of the ground and controlled in motion. That's just the beginning. Our man has half the battle of the prophssion worked out. From now in, it is up to our mathematicians to do the rest, and I have confidence in them.

We believe the saucers are interplanetary but will never be positive until we have one on the ground to inspect for ourselves --- and that is what we are working on. I believe we will succeed.

Thank you again for your letter, and your time. Until such time as you care to contact us again, I remain,

Very sincerely yours,

Coral Jorenzen

Coral Lorenzen

Dear Mr. Scully:

Let me thank you first for your kind reply which assured me that the Paterson Morning Call clipping with John Riley's pictures had reached you. Of all the saucer photography I have seen, these are about the most convincing and come the nearest to your own description of such a type of space-ship. Having gone rather carefully into the history of these pictures, I have detected no fraud. In fact, John himself knew and still knows comparatively little about the subject and was anxious to hear me out about the real value of his, what I called, good luck. What the pictures in Gerald Heard's book only faintly suggests John's pictures reveal in full view and from seven different angles. I would love to hear Dr. Menzel's reply on these evidential records. I call them so because they were a great step toward my conviction.

And now me may look forward to a new saucer book. You know, as a result of books like your's the subject 'saucers' is becoming more generally discussed here and newspapers are now printing freely the lay-opinions of its reading and thinking public. In fact, some of these opinions should not, I think, escape the attention of the experts themselves, because they represent the popular reaction to the things they are saying and doing about it. It is for such a reason that I take the liberty to enclose two such letters which were published in the same paper from which I took the first clipping. They are controvertial and present somewhat opposing points of view. I presume that they would fit well in your saucer file. The second letter, which happens to be my own, presents a theory which, I think, takes a more constructive attitude toward this as yet unexplained phenominon; it looks for an ethical reason why the people in these saucers could be here and why

at this particular time. The suggestion that they could be either insects or devils is typical of our scientifically or theologically warped imagination. The theory which I hold strongly and personally is that they are here to introduce us to a Moral Universe, the idea of which is completely unfamiliar to us who's whole attitude has become that of unmoral aggression or of immoral violence, stained as we still are by the exiting memory of two world massacres. The fact that they are here at this time suggests to me a vast cosmic scheme of creative progress to which we, although unconsciously, belong. I believe that by them, this fact at this time is going to be revealed to us as a proposition of mutual understanding and universal good-will, which may desolve our own hatefull world-temper into a reasonable attitude of co-operative action. To this we may then surrender our own selfrevealed moral immaturity.

Now, the terrible problem for these cosmic visitors is to find the right approach. Which body of intelligent power among us would be ready to understand and to cooperate intelligently, calmly and skill-fully with such a proposal? Who would have the moral courage to do such a thing? Exposing himself to the ridicule of the know-alls and to the condemnation of organized religion, not mentioning the hand of authority. Do you too realize our dilemma? Do you too see that in the end they may have to use a certain amount of force to make us listen?

What we desperately need today, Mr Scully, is just a few men of cultural authority who have the enlightened imagination to realize such a possibility and the moral courage to suggest it educatingly to those who could have the faith, the skill and the caution to do the right thing about it at the right time. That I have you in mind as one of them is beyond question. As soon as such a nucleus was formed, they would know it and the first intelligent contact could then be made.

These beings are here, we can no longer deny it; their technological powers and mechanical skills are tremendous, we cannot laugh that off; their humanity is equally far beyond our's, we have the right to expect that. . Then, what are we waiting for reaching out in thought and in attitude the hand of friendship and make them welcome. By God, we need that friendship!

Yet, on the other hand, they must have the wisdom to wait for such an opening. In the mean time you have already done good work to prepare us somewhat for the most thrilling experience we as a humanity are about to pass through. May God bless you for it.

Fredrik H. B. Prach S.

Fredrik, H. B. Brack Sr.

687 East 25th Street

Paterson, N.J.

## CALL'S LETTER BOX

Devoted To The Publication Of Letters To The Editor On Matters Of Public Interest

Letters to be published must be condensed to not more than 800 words.
Opinions expressed in this column are not to be construed as the opinions
of The Morning Call.
All communications should be signed by the writer and the address given as
evidence of good faith, and for our information and protection.
The Call expressly reserves the right either to out out of any letter or
article any part thereof considered by the editor to be false, libelous, scurrilous
or vulgar or to reject any such objectionable letter or article in its entirety as
unfit for publication.
Correspondents submitting articles criticizing any American eitizen must
accept responsibility for the same and must therefore expect to see their names
appended to such articles.

Due to the critical newsprint shortage space limitation requires that no more than two letters on the same subject from the same person be given publication until further notice.

## Fredrik H. B. Brack, Sr., Advances Theory On The Latest Celestial Phenomenon Commonly Known As The Flying Saucers

The Morning Call Paterson, N. J. Dear Mr. Editor:

It is with true appreciation for the opportunity to express myself through your newspaper, that I wish to address the Rev. Alphonse Di Cairano in connection with his open letter of Aug. 11 in which he as a theologian seemed to have some difficulty in identifying that latest celestial phenomenon, the flying saucer. Taking myself a somewhat different point of view, namely that of the philosopher, I would like to supplement his ideas about it with a few thoughts which are entirely my own and should therefore be received as such.

In his interesting paper he accepts certain statements which, although not theological, are made by men who seem to know that these flying saucers are not of this world and I happen to agree with him on this point. Yet I must respectfully question his thought that on that account they could be considered as belonging to a world of spirits. This not too satisfying idea, to my mind, goes back to an old controversy which, I feel, should have been settle as time went on. It is connected with the ancient idea of a 'God's chosen people' which in pre-christian days was confined to a small, selected group of the Semitic race. longing to a world of spirits. This race.

It was Jesus Christ however It was Jesus Christ however who broke with this strange superstition by making it known that all of earth's people are equally the children of the One Father Who is in Heaven. As this was accepted, it led to a new Ptolemaic theory which held to the idea that the earth and its people were the chosen and its people were the chosen center of the universe and the visible sun, moon and all the stars were merely for our use

or for our aesthetic entertain-

This lasted until the 15th Century when Copernicus revealed a new truth about the universe, in which the earth moves with the other planets around the sun as an equal member of this solar as an equal member of this solar system. After him came Galileo, who invented the telescope and developed this theory further. And finally it was Geordano Bruno, whose enlightened mind grasped the idea of a Universal God, creator of this solar system and of surery planet in it. God, creator of this solar system and of every planet in it; each created with an equal purpose of evolving life and its ultimate redemption. Although this theory was then a philosophical hypothesis, today we receive the first material evidence that Bruno may have been right: that Bruno may have been right; that God in His Universality has an endless magnitude of worlds blessed with His unfolding life, many younger, but many older, far older than our earth and infinitly wiser than we are.

All these worlds may have a means of inter-communication of which we in our lower state of development have so far been completely ignorant. Philosophically speaking, they seem to be God's 'Sheep of another Fold,' physical as we are and apparently a great deal smarter. Now it has the complete to be the great seems to be this greater smartseems to be this greater smart-ness which has the Rev. Mr. Di-Cairano worrying. It is their vast powers under perfect con-trol for which he expresses a medieval fright by calling them diabolical which means 'con-trolled by satan.' Yet here again I must remind him that Jesus Christ Himself possessed these Christ Himself possessed these same powers and that at times He used them to the Glory of God and for the good of men. More than this, he promised us that some day we too would be that some day we too would be able to know and use these powers. When? . . . As soon as we

have grown into His Grace, His Love, and His Wisdom sufficiently to make it safe for us and for all of us to have them; to use them not for our own vainglory but in service of a greater, more glorious life for all.

If we don't have these pow-

ers today, it is largely because with them we would become viciously dangerous to ourselves to our fellowmen and probably to the whole of God's Solar Kingdom. In fact as things are now, with much less knowledge and desperately less love and wisdom, we are already becoming a menace to ourselves and world-peace. We are proving to ourselves that power without wisdom, knowledge without love, and ambition without a humanely inspired purpose are diaboli-cal powers indeed. Yet, they are definitely our own today. May God and some of His wiser and more loving children from somewhere help us to overcome this terrible earthly dilemma which threatens us with mutual destruction.

And now the question may rise: How do I know that the beings in these flying saucers are our spiritual superiors and why don't they come down if their intentions are so pure? The answer is that they must be answer is, that they must be more spiritual because if they were not they would not have survived their own material progress. With all their knowledge and skill and no love they would and skill and no love they would have destroyed each other. This is 'the Law' for all of us. It is their experience and their understanding which we need to succeed as they did ages ago. Why don't they come down? . . . Because there is no doubt that with their highly developed powers of inter-communication, perhaps telepathic. they must know ers of inter-communication, perhaps telepathic, they must know our history. They must know how often we maltreated our great men. How Copernicus dared not publish his writings during his lifetime, how Galileo was forced to retract his great theory and how Geordano Bruno was burned at the stake because he spread his too far advanced teachings of a Universal Kingdom of God.

Is it any wonder that they act with caution? If they were attacked they, no doubt, could defend themselves, but this would mean violence and they are, I'm sure, messengers of peace. So far they have skillfully avoided any possible accident which we sometimes did not. They show courtesy and willingness to be looked over from a safe distance, without danger to them and to us. I think we should have no fear for them but rather hope that their powers may be of an elder Is it any wonder that they act their powers may be of an elder brother in the family of God.

Sincerely yours FREDRIK H. B. BRACK, Sr. Paterson, N. J.

GRIN AND BEAR IT



